Feedback to consultation paper

Document Title	T2S Consultation Paper: Principles and High Level Proposals	
Document Reference	070323_note_ConsultPrincipalsProposals_v0.98.doc	
Issued for review	26.04.2007	
Deadline for review	27.06.2007	

Propos al Nr.	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
1			Halifax Share Dealing	No
2	I do not agree entirely	The development of the system, in line with this proposal, should not impede /	Halifax Share Dealing	No
		prevent the addition of settlement facilities in other currencies at a later stage	Limited	
3			Halifax Share Dealing	No
4			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
5			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
6			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
7	I agree	Clarity is required, however, on which system the users will be required to reconcile their positions against - in line with their regulatory requirements.	Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
8			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
9			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
10			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
11	I do not agree entirely	This appears to necessitate a duplication of effort on the part of CSDs - which would not help efficiencies.	Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
12	I do not agree entirely	Read-only access should be available to other users.	Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
13	I do not agree entirely	Only the minimum CSD's participants data required to settle transactions should be required and access to that information in T2S should be restricted to the CSD and the participant it refers to.	Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No

Propos	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
al Nr.				
14			Halifax Share Dealing	No
14			Limited	NO
15			Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	
16			Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	
17	I do not agree entirely	CSDs and users must be permitted to influence the final decisions made relating to	Halifax Share Dealing	No
10		deadlines and schedules?	Limited	N
18			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
19			Halifax Share Dealing	No
17			Limited	140
20	I do not agree entirely	The harmonisation of the operating hours must ensure that different European time	Halifax Share Dealing	No
	,	zones are taken into account so that no users, CSDs, etc., are disadvantaged.	Limited	
21			Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	
22			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
23	I do not agree entirely	The use of night-time settlement facilities should match the use of day-time facilities -	Halifax Share Dealing	No
23	I do not agree entirely		Limited	NO
		be severly impacted if one CSD opt out of night-time facilities.	Emmed	
		, rank y		
24			Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	
25			Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	
26			Halifax Share Dealing	No
27	I do not agree entirely	Lifecycle messaging from T2S should not duplicate that from CSDs as this would add	Limited Halifay Shara Daaling	No
21	i do not agree entifery	to the costs incurred by users.	Limited	110
28		to the costs meaned by asers.	Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	
29			Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	

Propos	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
al Nr.				
30	I completely disagree	T2S is clearly suffering from design creep even at this early stage. It should not be	Halifax Share Dealing	No
30	reompletely disagree	allowed to get bogged down in the matching process, having to deal with allegements,		110
		mis-matches, cancellations, etc. Instead it should only accept matched instructions.	Emitou	
		and indicates, the control of the co		
31	I agree	Assuming the ECSDA matching standards are MiFID compliant.	Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	
32			Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	
33	I do not agree entirely	•	Halifax Share Dealing	No
		to settlement? And if so will it accept unilateral or bilateral cancellation instructions	Limited	
34			Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	
35	I do not agree entirely		Halifax Share Dealing	No
		perform it.	Limited	
36	I completely disagree	See our response to no. 30 above.	Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	
37			Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	
38			Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	
39			Halifax Share Dealing	No
40			Limited	
40			Halifax Share Dealing	No
4.1			Limited) T
41			Halifax Share Dealing	No
42			Limited	No
42			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
12				No
43			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	INO
44			Halifax Share Dealing	No
44			Limited Deaning	110
45			Halifax Share Dealing	No
43			Limited Deaning	110
46			Halifax Share Dealing	No
40			Limited Deaning	110

Propos	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
l Nr.				
47	I do not agree entirely	Shaping and partial settlements should only be permitted when both parties to the	Halifax Share Dealing	No
48	I do not agree entirely	transaction agree to it How often would settlement be re-attempted? Would the frequency match those of	Limited Halifax Share Dealing	No
40		the CSDs or will T2S set its own frequency?	Limited	
49			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
50			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
51	I agree	This seems to be a reasonable proposal.	Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
52	I do not agree entirely		Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
53			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
54			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
55			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
56			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
57			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
58			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
59			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
60	I do not agree entirely	We would be unable to aggree with this proposal until we know what source was used to establish the volume.		No
61	I do not agree entirely	See 60	Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
62			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
63			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No
64			Halifax Share Dealing Limited	No

Propos	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
al Nr.				
65			Halifax Share Dealing	No
			Limited	
66	I do not agree entirely	A market-by-market approach does not take account of multimarket CSDs, e.g.	Halifax Share Dealing	No
		Euroclear. A CSD-by-CSD approach would seem to be a more sensible approach.	Limited	
67	I do not agree entirely	Care is needed to ensure that, in designing a system that will have minimal overall	Halifax Share Dealing	No
		market impact, the solution is not a system that downgrades standards that currently	Limited	
		exist in some member states.		