Feedback to consultation paper

Document Title	T2S Consultation Paper: Principles and High Level Proposals
Document Reference	070323_note_ConsultPrincipalsProposals_v0.98.doc
Issued for review	26.04.2007
Deadline for review	27.06.2007

Proposal Nr.	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
	1 I do not agree entirely	The Eurosystem itself is not a legal entity that could have the appropriate (legal) responsibility, therefore the ownership structure has to be further clarified.	Estonian CSD, Sampo Pank and Tallinna Äripank	No
	2 I do not agree entirely	The functionalities of T2S should not rely on the possibilities of TARGET2. The functionalities of T2S should be put down separately and, only if possible and to the extent practicable, the synergies with TARGET2 could be sought. Having two systems based on the same platform could easily create additional risks for both systems.	Estonian CSD, Sampo Pank and Tallinna Äripank	No
	3 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo Pank and Tallinna Äripank	No
	4 I completely disagree	We agree that the users securities accounts should legally remain attributed to each CSD, however, we do not consider it to be technically feasible to have all securities accounts in T2S environment. At least in case of beneficial account structure it is likely to be very problematic and could certainly create additional costs.	Estonian CSD, Sampo Pank and Tallinna Äripank	No
	5 I do not agree entirely	The question of what exactly is considered as "current level of functionality" - that should be clarified. We are also concerned that on practical level it would be difficult to combine all CSDs' distinctive features so that the principle could be fulfilled.	Estonian CSD, Sampo Pank and Tallinna Äripank	No
	6 I completely disagree	We agree that the users securities accounts should legally remain attributed to each CSD, however, we do not consider it to be technically feasible to have all securities accounts in T2S environment. At least in case of beneficial account structure it is likely to be very problematic and could certainly create additional costs.	Estonian CSD, Sampo Pank and Tallinna Äripank	No
	7 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo Pank and Tallinna Äripank	No

Proposal Nr.	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
:	8 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
	9 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	6		Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
1	0 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
-			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
1	1 I completely disagree	Several CSDs also fulfill the registrar functions and are held responsible for the	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
1	i i completely disaglee	correctness of the CSD and the registry data, hence the CSDs have national regulatory	-	110
		requirements to fulfill. Therefore it should be left for the CSDs to decide on direct	Äripank	
		access of its users to T2S.	Апранк	
1/	2 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
1.	2 l'agree		Pank and Tallinna	INU
1/	21		Äripank	NT.
1.	3 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	NO
			Pank and Tallinna	
	4		Äripank	N 7
14	4 I agree	In principle we agree to equal access, but in fact it depends on the exact criteria what	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
		is deemed to be equal access (for example set-up costs relative to the size of a CSD).	Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
1:	5 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
10	6 I agree	In principle yes, however, the impact on national markets should be further analysed.	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
1′	7 I do not agree entirely	We are concerned that this principle could be difficult to achieve in practice, it very	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
		much depends on national regulations.	Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
1	8 I do not agree entirely	Yes, but on condition that the system itself and covering of costs are fully transparent.	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
1	9 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	-		Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
20	0 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	

Proposal Nr.	Statement	Comment		Confidential
	1 I do not agree entirely	Due to local business requirements it would be difficult to achieve a single and	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
		uniform structure that would suit all CSDs.	Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
	2 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
	3 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	0		Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
	4 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	110
			Äripank	
	5 I agree	We presume that a T2S securities account should be linked to one cash account.	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	51 45100	We presume that a 125 securities account should be mixed to one cash account.	Pank and Tallinna	110
			Äripank	
	6 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	01 agree		Pank and Tallinna	NO
			Äripank	
	71.00000		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	7 I agree		Pank and Tallinna	NO
	0 1		Äripank	N
	8 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	NO
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
	9 I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
	10 I do not agree entirely	Due to local business requirements it would be difficult to achieve a single and	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
		uniform structure that would suit all CSDs.	Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
	11 I agree	We agree to the proposal, however, we understand that this proposal requires	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
		retaining the current CSD databases separately. Therefore the effect of	Pank and Tallinna	
		decommissioning would actually disappear.	Äripank	
	12 I agree	We agree to the proposal. However, the mechanism to ensure consistency should be	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
		appropriate and not pose additional tasks on CSDs.	Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
	13 I agree	We agree to the proposal, however, we understand that this proposal requires	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	Ŭ	retaining the current CSD databases separately. Therefore the effect of	Pank and Tallinna	
		decommissioning would actually disappear.	Äripank	

Proposal Nr.	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
14	I agree	We agree to the proposal. However, the mechanism to ensure consistency should be	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
		appropriate and not pose additional tasks on CSDs.	Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
15	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
16	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
17	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
18	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
19	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	C		Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
20	I do not agree entirely	The operation of T2S should not depend exclusively on the availability of TARGET2,	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	с <i>г</i>	i.e. it should not be a precondition for T2S to rely on the operating hours of	Pank and Tallinna	
		TARGET2. The operation of T2S should be agreed upon separately.	Äripank	
			*	
21	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	-		Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
22	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	C		Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
23	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	Ŭ		Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
24	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	Ũ		Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
25	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
26	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
_0			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	

	Statement	Comment		Confidential
27	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
28	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	-		Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
29	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	-		Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
30	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	C		Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
31	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
32	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
52	i ugice		Pank and Tallinna	110
			Äripank	
33	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
55	1 agree		Pank and Tallinna	110
			Äripank	
24	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
54	1 agree		Pank and Tallinna	INO
			Äripank	
25	Τ			NT.
35	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	NO
			Pank and Tallinna	
	T		Äripank	N 7
36	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
	_		Äripank	
37	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
38	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
		before it is possible to give a reasoned opinion on the subject.	Äripank	
39	I agree	We consider it very important to have the specific functionalities in place for end-user	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
		accounts. These functionalities should be more thoroughly analysed.	Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	

roposal Nr.	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
40	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	_		Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
41	I agree	We would need additional information in order to give a reasoned opinion on the	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
		proposal.	Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
42	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
43	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	Ũ		Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
44	I do not agree entirely	We consider it necessary to have at least one more technical netting during the	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
		daytime period (preferrably in the morning).	Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
45	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
46	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
47		We do not have enough information to comment that proposal.	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
.,			Pank and Tallinna	110
			Äripank	
48	I agree	We agree, provided that cancelling the trade would remain a possibility.	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	110
			Äripank	
49	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
.,	1 48100		Pank and Tallinna	110
			Äripank	
50	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
50	I ugico		Pank and Tallinna	110
			Äripank	
		In principle we agree to the general idea, but it is necessary to have additional	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
51	1		Pank and Tallinna	110
51		Information in order to give a reasoned opinion on the subject		
51		information in order to give a reasoned opinion on the subject.		
	I completely disagree		Äripank	No
	I completely disagree	Several CSDs also fulfill the registrar functions and are held responsible for the	Äripank Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
	I completely disagree		Äripank Estonian CSD, Sampo	No

Proposal Nr.	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
53	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
54	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
55	I do not agree entirely	It depends on the principle of accessing T2S: please see the comment for proposal No	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
		52.	Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
56	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
57	I agree	We agree that this should only be available for CSDs.	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
51	I ugice	the agree that and should only be available for espisi	Pank and Tallinna	110
			Äripank	
58	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
50			Pank and Tallinna	110
			Äripank	
50	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
59	1 agree		Pank and Tallinna	110
			Äripank	
60	Lagrag	We agree, provided that the proposal relies on thorough previous analyses.	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
00	I agree	we agree, provided that the proposal relies on thorough previous analyses.	Pank and Tallinna	INO
(1	Τ		Äripank	NT.
61	I agree	We agree, provided that the proposal relies on thorough previous analyses.	Estonian CSD, Sampo	NO
			Pank and Tallinna	
	×		Äripank	
62	I agree	We agree, provided that the proposal relies on thorough previous analyses.	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
	_		Äripank	
63	I agree	We agree, provided that the proposal relies on thorough previous analyses.	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
64	I agree		Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
65	I agree	We consider it very important to conclude further analysis on whether the proposed	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
		archiving solution would meet all the requirements for CSDs.	Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	

Proposal Nr.	Statement	Comment	Institution	Confidential
66	I agree	We agree, given the precondition that the proposal No 51 has been entirely fulfilled.	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	
67	I agree	The principle as such is positive, however difficult to achieve.	Estonian CSD, Sampo	No
			Pank and Tallinna	
			Äripank	